G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

G.M. 180-16-1,4-6

Reserve

~~1921~~

(PP 1)7

File No. 47/4

Serial Nos 5 to 9

Later references.

P.P. 28-11-1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 5 to 9

Part B for 7 Feb 1922

SUBJECT.

Precedence List

Re: Government Officers on the Durbar List taking up the Legal profession

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

CP. 237

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Usec E.a

Retired offrs practicing
Lawyers.

Please examine these
papers in connexion with
the
2/7

The present rule is as follows:—

"The order of precedence for
offrs on active service will be
made applicable to retired offrs
as well, their position being determ
by the posts held by them at the ti
of their retirement, subject to the
proviso that when there are two or
more retired offrs of the same
rank they should take precede
among themselves according to
their seniority."

Supdt C.P.B. original
Please put with file heading
the Precedence rules. Hon
21/7/21.

Subd with G.M. file
180-16 containing the
Proceedings of the Comm
to consider the Ques
of the Order of
Precedence at Durban
21 Aug
C 25/7

N.B. Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

T

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19 . 257

Serial No.

Flag T.

Flag S.

Mis, 578 & 582
Precedence Book 1921
Haydn's Annual

The Precedence Committee of 1916 recommended a separate class for retired officers, but Govt decided on the present rule (extracted above) which was in force even previously.

The British Indian Warrant of Precedence & the English Order of Precedence do not confer special precedence on retired officers qua retired officers.

Sub. for orders.

Chapman

30/7/21.

The question is whether retired officers practising a profession should lose their rank in the precedence list. The point does not seem to have been raised in the past, and bristles with difficulties. It is submitted that it might be explained for the present

Ld
2/8/21.

Ld Members
Dewan

Lawyers practising in the Land Courts are used to officers attached to those Courts and are therefore unfitted for the description of Central Civil Service Courts. When therefore a retired officer enters the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191 .

Serial No.

his ranks of his legal practitioners, his status & rank must be deemed to be merged in h[is] & his profession he practises. It is only a matter of grace that a certain rank and st[yle] is given to a retired pleader and it should [be] recognised & him that he should maintain dignity & prestige in the same manner as other pleaders in Courts do. If he descends to lower levels, he forfeits his right to be treated as his Equal & not grace. In Kerapur Court all such persons who practising lawyers from his Profession have considered themselves to be

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Recd. on AUG 9 1921
Reg. No. 870

(D.O.C. No. 33 dt. 15.8.21)

applying Dewanny workers.

Dear)

Legal Consultant & Counsel

15.8.21

Circulate

I am in chief to share the views expressed above by the Second member

24.8.21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Extract from the Proceedings of the 581st *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 1st September 1921. *19*

68. **Subject. - Clause xxvii of the schedule.**

Omission of the names of retired officers practising as Lawyers in the Durbar Precedence List.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

Officers in classes I and II of the Precedence List practising as lawyers put themselves under the disciplinary control of the Courts and as such they cannot claim the privileges which other retired officers of the same grade are admitted to.

(Signed) M. KANTARAJ URS,

Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 1471—GPB—2,000—1-3-21

C87

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No.	of 1921-22.

Serial No.	
	Under Secy. (EA) for D.S.N Ku 3/9 D.S.N Sub Chesney Rey 6/9 Ku Sewell) 6/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Submitted to His Highness.

In the rules of precedence at Durbars, retired officers are now given the same rank as officers in active service, their position being determined by the posts held by them at the time of their retirement. There are a few retired officers who are practising as lawyers, and appearing as such before Subordinate Magistrates also. The question whether they can be regarded as retaining the rank conceded to them in the precedence list was considered at a meeting of the Council on the 1st September 1921 when the following opinion was recorded.

"Officers in classes I and II of the Precedence List practising as lawyers put themselves under the disciplinary control of the Courts and as such they cannot claim the privileges which other retired officers of the same grade are admitted to."

2. This objection does not apply to retired officers following other avocations, such as those of Engineers, Doctors, etc. But the position of legal practitioners is different as they are regarded as "Officers of the Court" and are subject to the disciplinary control of the Courts in which they practise.

3. The recommendation of the Council may be graciously approved. It would then have to be pointed out to such retired officers that they cannot retain their position in the precedence list if they practice as lawyers.

W. Meredith Hughes

10th Sept. 1921

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

H.H. No: 48/CB
Dt/ 10.9.21.

Subject.

Omission of the names of retired officers practising as Lawyers in the Durbar Precedence List.

249.
Returned with Do. No 67
dated 12.9.21

Order.

P.P. 47-21-5.

The Palace, Mysore,
12th September 1921.

My dear Sir,

With reference to file No. H.H. 48/C.B. dated 10th Instant, I am directed to request that you will kindly let me have a list of retired Government Officers on the Durbar List who have taken up the legal profession and what courts they practise in.

I am to point out that the order which you propose to issue is of a general kind and might conceivably be resented by the legal profession if it became known. On the other hand it would be quite easy to exclude certain individuals from the Durbar List without issuing any general order. It might happen, at some future time, that a retired officer who was a Bar-at-Law, might wish to practise before the Chief Court. Why should such a ~~member~~ man Barrister be excluded from the Durbar List ?

C 87.

Subject - Clause xxvii of the schedule.

Omission of the names of retired officers practising as Lawyers from t: Durbar Precedence List.

....

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

Note.
a copy may be sent to
there is no objection
that no more may be supplied
21/9/21

Under Secy. (E&A)

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 14.9.21

Signed by W. B. Ramaswamaiya.

Section P.P.

File No. 47-21

Serial No. 6

No. 1586/CB.

& 14.9.21

To

Dear Sir,

I am desired to request you to kindly furnish an up-to-date list of Retired Officers of Govt who have enrolled themselves as Lawyers and also the Courts in which they are practising.

Yours truly

sd

14.9.21

Gl. Secy.

A/c Secretariat Establishment

over

Copy left, thanks

sd

14/9/21

P.P.47-21-7
CB-972

C.M. 19/9.21

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore, 19th September 1921.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. Nos.1586/C.B. dated 14th September 1921 and C.1630/C.B. dated 17th September 1921, I enclose herein a list of retired officers of Government (~~it~~ it is presumed this does not refer to officers who have resigned Government Service) who have enrolled themselves as Lawyers, as far as can be compiled from the records available in this Office.

It would not be possible to give correct -- information as to the Courts in which they are actually practising without a reference being made to Subordinate Courts, reply to which will entail time. If further

and

and fuller information is required, the Subordinate Courts will be addressed in the --- matter and a reply sent to you, late.

Yours Sincerely,

Sheshagiri Rao Pavaskar.

. Ramaswamy Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

General Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

B A N G A L O R E

List of the names of persons who have retired f from public service and taken legal profession and place where they practise.

Advocates.

1. Mr. E. C. Sachidanandam Pillay---Bangalore.
2. " P. Singra Char .. .. Do
3. " N. Narasimhiengar. .. Do
4. " B. S, Krishnaswami Iyengar Do
5. " N. Gopalakrishna Iyengar. Do
6. " N. T. Gopala Iyengar. Residing at Nabha
7. " T. Chokkanna -- Bangalore.

First Grade Pleaders.

1. Mr. M. S. Puttanna -- Bangalore.
2. " M. K. Srinivas Iyengar. Do
3. " B. Narasimhayya .. ..Mysore.
4. " H. B. Bhashyachar .. Bangalore (on leave) preparatory to retirement

Second Grade Pleaders.

1. Mr. M. Krishna Rao .. Bangalore.
2. " B. Bhima Rao .. Shimoga.

19/9/21

Sheristadar of the Civil Court.

Do.No.206

The Palace, Mysore,

10th February 1922.

My dear Sir,

Would you kindly as requested in my D.O.letter No.67 dated the 12th September 1921, let me have a list of retired Government Officers on the Durbar list who have taken up the legal profession, shewing therein the courts they practice in?

Yours sincerely,

Rajasevadhurina

Sir M. Kantharaj Urs, K.C.I.E., C.S.I.,

Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore.

No. 5216 CB / Envi file (C87)
P.P. 47-21-8 D/ 15/12/1922

My dear Sir,

I herewith return the file regarding retired Government officers on the Durbar list taking up the legal profession which ~~has been~~ was returned with your D.O. No.67 of the 12th Sept. last. This ~~matter~~ subject was again informally considered in Council on the 21st idem when it was thought that the matter might be dropped, there being only one officer, viz., Mr. B.S. Krishnaswami Iyengar, retired District Judge, coming under this ~~description~~ category. ~~He~~ is understood to be practising in all Courts including Sub-ordinate Magistrates' Courts.

Since then, it is ~~understood~~ learnt that Mr. N.T. Gopala Iyengar, retired District Judge of Bangalore who was till recently employed in Nabha has come back to Bangalore. Before he went to Nabha he was also, like Mr. Krishnaswamiengar, practising in all the Courts.

Yours sincerely,

DEWAN. 14/12/

R.H. Campbell, Esq., C.I.E.,
Private Secretary to H.H. the Maharaja.